

Recent Advances in Hypertension and Cardiovascular Toxicities With Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Inhibition

Rhian M. Touyz, Ninian N. Lang, Joerg Herrmann, Anton H. van den Meiracker, A.H. Jan Danser

Physiologically, VEGFs (vascular endothelial growth factors) and their receptors (VEGFR) play a critical role in vascular development, neogenesis, angiogenesis, endothelial function, and vascular tone. Pathologically, VEGF–VEGFR signaling induces dysregulated angiogenesis, which contributes to the growth and spread of tumors. The development of VEGF–VEGFR inhibitors (VEGFIs) has, thus, proven to be a valuable strategy in the management of several malignancies, yielding improved survival outcomes. Not surprisingly, VEGFIs are now standard of care as first-line monotherapy for some cancers and the scope of this class of drugs is growing. However with the promise of improved outcomes, VEGFIs also led to clinically relevant toxicities, especially hypertension and cardiovascular disease (CVD). As such, patients with cancer treated with VEGFIs may have improved cancer outcomes, but at the cost of an increased risk of CVD. Indeed, dose intensity and protracted use of these drugs can be limited by cardiovascular side effects and patients may require dose reduction or drug withdrawal, thus compromising anticancer efficacy and survival. Here we summarize the vascular biology of VEGF–VEGFR signaling and discuss the cardiovascular consequences and clinical impact of VEGFIs. New insights into molecular mechanisms whereby VEGFIs cause hypertension and heart disease are highlighted.

A Primer in Vascular Biology and Signaling of VEGF

VEGFs, of which there are 4 isoforms (VEGFA, VEGFB, VEGFC, and VEGFD), signal through VEGFR tyrosine kinases and are critically involved in the development and function of the vasculature.¹ VEGFs are produced by endothelial cells, fibroblasts, podocytes, and cancer cells. Of the 3 VEGFR subtypes (VEGFR1, VEGFR2, and VEGFR3), VEGFR2 is the primary receptor through which VEGF, especially VEGFA, signals in endothelial cells. VEGFs also bind to neuropilin receptors and to heparin sulfate proteoglycans. Ligand-receptor binding promotes receptor dimerization and phosphorylation of receptor tyrosine kinases that trigger intracellular signaling with acute nongenomic effects, such as endothelial permeability and vasodilation, and chronic genomic responses, including cell differentiation, survival, and proliferation¹ (Figure 1). VEGFR2

signaling is also activated through nonligand processes, such as shear stress and stretch, that stimulate noncanonical signaling through cytoplasmic tyrosine kinases (eg, c-Src).^{1,2} Multiple mechanisms regulate VEGFRs, including protein expression, ligand availability, coactivators, intracellular tyrosine kinases/phosphatases, intracellular degradation, and recycling and cross-talk between VEGFs and VEGFR subtypes.¹

VEGFR2 activation triggers pathways essential for endothelial biology, including phospholipase C–DAG-IP₃ and downstream Ca²⁺ and ERK1/2 (extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1/2) signaling, important in arteriogenesis, neogenesis, and angiogenesis, through regulation of cell migration, fate specification, proliferation, and contraction/dilation.¹ VEGF–VEGFR2–mediated increase in intracellular free Ca²⁺ concentration ([Ca²⁺]_i) influences calcineurin-induced nuclear translocation of NFAT (nuclear factor of activated T cells), which downregulates VEGFR1, thereby further increasing VEGFR2 signaling, because VEGFR1 negatively regulates VEGFR2.³ VEGFR2 phosphorylation also promotes activation of small GTPases, Src, stress kinases, and sphingosine-1-phosphate that influence cytoskeletal organization, cell morphology, adhesion, migration, and cell–cell interaction, which is important in endothelial integrity.^{1–4}

In addition to regulating vascular development and permeability, VEGF–VEGFR2 influences vascular tone by modulating vasorelaxation. VEGFR2-mediated activation of PI3K-AKT leads to endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) phosphorylation, increased nitric oxide (NO) generation, and consequent vasodilation.^{1,5} Other vasodilatory pathways include VEGF-stimulated COX-stimulated production of the vasodilator prostacyclin I₂.¹ VEGF also inhibits endothelial production of the potent vasoconstrictor endothelin-1 (ET-1).⁶ Accordingly, physiological VEGF–VEGFR2 signaling maintains vascular tone by balancing NO- and prostacyclin-induced vasodilation and ET-1–regulated vasoconstriction.

VEGF Signaling as a Target for Antiangiogenic and Anticancer Therapy

Angiogenesis, the formation of new blood vessels from pre-existing vasculature, is critical for tumor growth and metastasis.

From the British Heart Foundation Glasgow Cardiovascular Research Centre, Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences, University of Glasgow, United Kingdom (R.M.T., N.N.L.); Division of Cardiovascular Diseases, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN (J.H.); and Division of Pharmacology and Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, The Netherlands (A.H.v.d.M., A.H.J.D.).

This manuscript was sent Robert M. Carey, Consulting Editor, for review by expert referees, editorial decision, and final disposition.

Correspondence to Rhian M. Touyz, Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences, University of Glasgow, 126 University Pl, Glasgow G12 8TA, United Kingdom. E-mail Rhian.Touyz@glasgow.ac.uk

(*Hypertension*. 2017;70:220–226. DOI: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.08856.)

© 2017 American Heart Association, Inc.

Hypertension is available at <http://hyper.ahajournals.org>

DOI: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.08856




Figure 1. Diagram demonstrating signaling pathways induced by VEGFR (vascular endothelial growth factor receptor) activation. VEGFR is activated by VEGF binding and by nonligand mechanisms (shear stress and stretch). Both genomic and nongenomic pathways are stimulated leading to endothelial cell growth, differentiation, migration, adhesion, and vasodilation. AKT indicates serine/threonine kinase 1; e-NOS, endothelial nitric oxide synthase; ERK1/2, extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1/2; FAK, focal adhesion kinase; NO, nitric oxide; p, phosphorylation site of VEGFR tyrosine kinase; PLA2, phospholipase A2; PGI2, prostaglandin I2; and RAF, rapidly accelerated fibrosarcoma.

This process is regulated by growth factors of which VEGFA–VEGFR2 plays a key role.⁷ Inhibition of angiogenesis, by targeting VEGF–VEGFR signaling, has revolutionized cancer therapy with improved outcomes in some previously untreatable cancers. Four major classes of VEGFI are currently used clinically, including monoclonal VEGF antibodies (bevacizumab), monoclonal VEGFR antibodies (ramucirumab), soluble decoy receptors (VEGF traps; aflibercept), and small molecule VEGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI; sunitinib, cabozantinib, pazopanib, axitinib, vandetanib, and regorafenib)^{8,9} (Figure 2). As endothelial cells are physiologically quiescent, no adverse effects during antiangiogenesis therapy were expected. However, clinical observations demonstrated that VEGFIs are associated with unexpected cardiovascular toxicity, especially hypertension.

Cardiovascular Toxicities of VEGF Inhibition

VEGF inhibitors are associated with an increased incidence of various cardiovascular pathologies including hypertension, ischemic heart disease, heart failure, QT-interval prolongation, and thromboembolism.^{10–12} Of these, hypertension is the most commonly reported toxicity in VEGFI trials, an effect that may limit anticancer treatment.^{9,10} Cardiovascular effects of VEGFIs may be severe with an associated increased risk of reversible leukoencephalopathy and fatal cardiovascular events.¹³

Inhibition of VEGF signaling has also been implicated in the pathophysiology of preeclampsia, where there is an increased production of placental factors including a splice variant of VEGFR-1 (sFlt-1 [soluble Fms-like tyrosine kinase 1]).¹⁴ sFlt-1, by binding VEGF, leads to reduced VEGFR activation and consequent endothelial dysfunction.

VEGF Inhibition and Hypertension

The magnitude of VEGFI-induced hypertension is significant, with almost every trial reporting an increase in blood

pressure (BP), often >150/100 mmHg.^{9,10,15} Development of hypertension is dose dependent and rises to ≈100% when VEGFIs are combined. Preexisting hypertension, older age, and overweight are important risk factors for the development of VEGFI-induced hypertension.¹⁶

The risk of hypertension was found to be 20% to 30% higher than that expected for bevacizumab.¹⁷ Home BP monitoring might lead to even higher rates of hypertension (especially of lower grades) than clinic measurements.¹⁷ Ambulatory BP monitoring revealed that VEGFI-induced BP increase occurs rapidly, within hours of commencement of therapy, and >90% of patients had an increase in BP.^{18,19} In sunitinib-treated patients with renal cell carcinoma, the average BP increase was 14/11 mmHg in the first and 22/17 mmHg in the second week, a response that was sustained until therapy withdrawal, when BP decreased rapidly.¹⁸ Ambulatory BP monitoring studies further demonstrated that circadian BP patterns are lost or attenuated, a response that increases the risk of hypertension-associated cardiovascular events.

In the general population, BP monitoring and treatment are important for primary (and secondary) prevention of CVD and long-term events. Previously, this was not a priority in cancer patients with limited life expectancy. However, with improved survival outcomes and chronicity of VEGFI treatment, BP monitoring and management are essential. For example, patients with chronic myeloid leukemia are treated chronically with ponatinib, exposing them to increased hypertension and CVD.

VEGFI-induced hypertension does not seem to be a risk factor for proteinuria.¹⁹ This agrees with experimental studies demonstrating that proteinuria and renal injury, including glomerular ischemia, develop first and mainly as a function of VEGFI dose.²⁰ Hypertension occurs earlier and at lower doses of VEGFI therapy, and these dynamics also suggest that renal dysfunction is not the initial prohypertensive insult either.²⁰ However, VEGFI is associated with a rightward shift




Figure 2. Schematic illustration of possible pathophysiological processes, whereby VEGF (vascular endothelial growth factor)–VEGFR (VEGF receptor) inhibition contributes to the development of hypertension and preeclampsia. Four major classes of VEGF–VEGFR inhibitors, including monoclonal VEGF antibodies, anti-VEGFR2 antibodies, soluble decoy receptors (VEGF-traps), and small molecule VEGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) are used clinically as antiangiogenesis drugs in cancer. In pregnancy, placenta-derived s-Flt1 (soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase 1), acts as a VEGF-trap reducing free VEGF availability for binding to VEGFR2R. These processes result in reduced VEGFR signaling and consequent reduction in production of vasodilators (NO and PGI2), increased production of vasoconstrictors (ET-1), oxidative stress and rarefaction, resulting in increased vascular tone and arterial remodeling. Reduced pressure natriuresis and impaired lymphatic function contribute to volume overload. Ab indicates antibody; CVD, cardiovascular disease; ECF, extracellular fluid; ET-1, endothelin-1; NO, nitric oxide; p, phosphorylation site of tyrosine kinase; PGI2, prostaglandin I₂; and ROS, reactive oxygen species.

of the renal pressure–natriuresis curve and impaired sodium excretion with consequent fluid retention and salt-dependent hypertension.

The acuteness of the BP increase caused by VEGFI and the rapid BP reduction upon drug withdrawal suggest that functional changes in vascular tone (decreased vasodilation/increased vasoconstriction) may be the key trigger of the hypertensive response. These vascular phenomena reflect direct actions of VEGFI and as such are on-target effects. Hypertension may, thus, serve as a biomarker of efficacy of VEGFI therapy, and indeed, studies have demonstrated better outcomes for patients with cancer who develop hypertension with VEGFI treatment.²¹

Mechanism of Hypertension During VEGF Inhibition

Mechanisms underlying VEGFI-induced hypertension remain unclear. Carter et al²² showed that administration of 4 different multitargeted receptor TKIs in conscious rats induced a BP rise accompanied by significant vasoconstriction in the mesenteric and hindquarter vascular beds, but not in the kidney. These findings support previous observations that vasoconstriction rather than cardioppression or renal dysfunction underlies the VEGFI-induced BP rise. The vasoconstrictive response to VEGFIs likely relates to reduced levels of the vasodilator NO because of the inhibition of eNOS-induced NO production. In

support of this, in healthy volunteers, intra-arterial infusion of bevacizumab in the forearm acutely reduced the vasodilator response to acetylcholine.²³ In conscious rats, the dose-dependent increase in sunitinib-induced BP elevation was associated with a dose-dependent decrease in urinary excretion of the NO effector molecule cGMP.²⁴ However, some clinical studies failed to show a role of decreased NO availability as an underlying cause of the vasoconstriction and BP rise caused by VEGFIs.⁵

Another mechanism that has been implicated in VEGFI-induced hypertension is increased production of the potent vasoconstrictor ET-1. Sunitinib is associated with raised levels of circulating ET-1, both in patients and in rats.^{5,25} Increased plasma ET-1 concentration has also been reported in patients treated with regorafenib and in preeclamptic patients with elevated sFlt-1 levels.²⁵ Proof that an activated ET-1 system is instrumental, at least during the initial sunitinib-induced BP rise, has been obtained with ET-1 receptor (ETR) antagonists. In conscious rats, coadministration of the ETR antagonist macitentan prevented hypertension caused by sunitinib.²⁶ In this same model sildenafil, a specific inhibitor of the enzyme phosphodiesterase 5, responsible for the breakdown of cGMP to 5'-GMP, did not lower BP. In swine exposed to sunitinib for 1 week, the elevated BP could be returned to presunitinib levels with the ETR antagonist tezosentan.²⁷ Unexpectedly, in this same model, acute administration of the NOS inhibitor

L-NMMA (*N*^G-monomethyl-L-arginine, monoacetate salt) was associated with an aggravation of BP elevation. Furthermore, antioxidants given after sunitinib for 1 week were associated with an attenuated BP decline compared with their response presunitinib administration, questioning a potential role for oxidative stress in VEGFI-induced hypertension.²⁷ However, not all studies have shown an ET-1-dependent effect. Bosentan, the ETAR/ETBR (endothelin receptor type A/endothelin receptor type B) blocker, failed to reduce BP in cediranib-treated rats.²²

Another potential mechanism whereby VEGFIs influence vascular tone and BP responses might relate to changes in [Ca²⁺]_i, a key player regulating vascular contraction. VEGFI may inhibit Ca²⁺ channel activation, leading to increased [Ca²⁺]_i,¹⁻⁴ which is critically involved in triggering vascular contraction.

Rarefaction (decreased microvessel number) is another putative mechanism underlying the rise in vascular resistance and BP associated with VEGFI.²⁸ The fact that BP increases within hours after initiation of VEGFI argues against a pathophysiological role for structural rarefaction in the developmental phase of hypertension. Because rarefaction is reversible upon drug withdrawal,²⁸ it may be a functional phenomenon due to profound vasoconstriction, at least acutely. Structural rarefaction may contribute to hypertension during prolonged VEGFI. It should be highlighted that for a relatively small increase in vascular resistance, an extensive degree of rarefaction is required. Furthermore, hypertension itself may cause rarefaction.²⁹

Rat studies have shown that the VEGFI-induced BP increase is aggravated by a high-sodium diet and accompanied by a leftward shift of the pressure–natriuresis curve, indicating that the BP rise is salt sensitive.³⁰ Decreased NO production in renal proximal tubular cells, impairing vasa recta dilatation and consequently pressure–natriuresis, may underlie this salt-sensitivity. In response to a high-salt diet, sodium and chloride accumulate in the skin in excess of water, leading to a hypertonic interstitial fluid compartment. In response to this hypertonicity, dermal mononuclear phagocytes system cells produce the transcription factor tonicity-responsive enhancer-binding protein that initiates expression and production of VEGF-C.³¹ VEGF-C, in turn, stimulates formation of lymph vessels to clear excessive electrolytes. Interruption of this pathway in rodents is associated with salt-sensitive hypertension.³⁰ Hence, impairment of lymphangiogenesis, a feature of most VEGFIs, may contribute to salt-sensitive hypertension after VEGFI. In high salt–fed normotensive rats, sodium and chloride accumulation in the skin was associated with an exaggerated sunitinib-induced BP elevation. Skin mononuclear phagocytes system cell density increased during high-salt diet and sunitinib administration, but no further increment was seen with combined salt and sunitinib. A high-salt diet also increased skin lymphangiogenesis, whereas sunitinib mildly reduced lymphangiogenesis, both during a normal and high-salt diet. These data suggest that sunitinib induces salt-sensitive hypertension, but that impaired skin lymphangiogenesis is unlikely to play an important role therein. The clinical significance of these findings awaits clarification.

Renal Injury, Hypertension, and VEGF Inhibition

VEGF inhibition is associated with kidney injury, commonly reflected by proteinuria and occasionally by an increased serum creatinine. Different mechanisms account for the BP rise and kidney injury.³² In sunitinib-induced hypertensive rats, there was a discrepancy between the BP-lowering and antiproteinuric effects of antihypertensive agents.²⁶ Captopril and sildenafil prevented proteinuria without effect on BP, whereas amlodipine prevented the development of hypertension without antiproteinuric effect. In this model, the ETR antagonist macitentan prevented hypertension and proteinuria, supporting recent studies suggesting that ET-1 not only plays a role in VEGFI-induced hypertension but also in kidney injury.²⁶

Glomerular endotheliosis, also seen in preeclampsia, and thrombotic microangiopathy are well-established histological abnormalities associated with VEGFI. In ≈50% of patients, thrombotic microangiopathy is restricted to the kidney.³³ Kidney biopsies of 100 patients with proteinuria and renal dysfunction after VEGFI showed thrombotic microangiopathy in 73 patients and minimal change and collapsing focal segmental glomerulosclerosis in 27 patients.³³ Almost all patients with thrombotic microangiopathy were treated with bevacizumab or VEGF trap, whereas all but one patient with the alternative renal histopathology were treated with receptor TKIs. A high-salt intake exacerbates the severity of VEGFI-associated glomerular injury.

VEGF Inhibition, sFlt-1, and Preeclampsia

Preeclampsia is associated with increased production of placental factors, including sFlt-1, soluble endoglin, and inflammatory cytokines,³⁴ which cause activation of endothelial cells and generalized endothelial dysfunction. sFlt-1, a soluble form of VEGF and PlGF (placental growth factor) receptors, binds to free VEGF and PlGF, thereby reducing their bioavailability for their respective membrane receptors. This leads to inhibition of VEGF and PlGF signaling and disruption in the balance between pro- and antiangiogenic factors, which affects not only the growth and development of the placenta and fetus but also the function of endothelial cells and the kidney.³⁴ It, thus, offers an explanation for both hypertension and renal dysfunction occurring in preeclampsia. The hypertension, proteinuria, and glomerular endotheliosis occurring during VEGFI is similar to that observed in preeclampsia and accordingly, some authors have termed the condition as a “preeclampsia-like” syndrome.³⁵ In support of this, preeclamptic women and VEGFI-treated patients with cancer exhibit hypertension with elevated ET-1 levels, which correlate with the degree of VEGF inhibition, represented by serum sFlt-1 levels or VEGF inhibitor dose. Animal models replicating the various aspects of human preeclampsia support the sFlt-1/ET-1 upregulation and show that ETR antagonism alleviates preeclampsia symptoms to the same degree because it prevents the preeclampsia-like symptoms during VEGFI.^{25,36} The exact cause(s) of the ET-1 rise remain unclear, but in addition to VEGF inhibition per se, placenta-derived soluble endoglin has been suggested to induce endothelial ET-1 production.³⁷ The net clinical effect of VEGF inactivation (excess sFlt-1 in preeclampsia) and VEGF inhibition (VEGF inhibitors) is similar.

Cardiotoxic Effects of VEGF Inhibitors

Although hypertension is the most common cardiovascular side effect of VEGFIs, these drugs are also associated with cardiac toxicity.

Left Ventricular Systolic Dysfunction

Although cardiac toxicity of VEGFIs may manifest as arrhythmia and atherothrombosis, a more pressing concern is their association with left ventricular systolic dysfunction (LVSD) and heart failure.^{38,39} Most cardiotoxic effects of VEGFIs were neither predicted nor observed before their clinical introduction. This may reflect a relative lack of robust assessment in early clinical trials and an underappreciation that many patients with cancer, who might not have been eligible for inclusion in trials, have factors predisposing them to potential cardiotoxicity, such as preexisting hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and coronary artery disease. Furthermore, many patients have had earlier treatment with other cancer chemotherapy agents or radiotherapy predisposing to cardiotoxicity.

The clinical spectrum of VEGFI-associated myocardial toxicity ranges from asymptomatic LVSD to heart failure or cardiogenic shock and death.^{38–40} Unfortunately, obtaining an accurate incidence of LVSD or heart failure with VEGFI is challenging and the reported incidence may actually underestimate the true scale of the problem. There is often overlap between symptoms that potentially reflect heart failure with those related to cancer and not the heart. For example, breathlessness, fatigue, and edema are often reported by patients and attributed to cancer and not the heart.³⁸ In addition, imaging of left ventricular function is not a consistent feature in VEGFI trials. Consequently, asymptomatic LVSD during the time-limited trial period is usually not reported or assessed.

The largest meta-analysis of TKI trials included 10647 patients.³⁹ The relative risk for the development of asymptomatic LVSD or heart failure was 2.69 for those treated with TKI versus those not receiving these agents.^{39,40} Notably, the risk of developing LVSD or heart failure for specific TKIs (eg, axitinib) was similar to relatively nonspecific TKIs (sunitinib, sorafenib, vandetanib, and pazopanib).^{40,41}

A meta-analysis of 3784 patients receiving bevacizumab for breast cancer revealed an overall incidence of heart failure of 1.6% in bevacizumab-treated patients versus 0.4% in placebo-treated individuals⁴² and similar findings were observed for sunitinib.⁴¹ Retrospective data from VEGFI-treated patients revealed a similar incidence of heart failure. These “real world” patients were also screened for LV dysfunction or rise in brain natriuretic peptide. About 27% of patients had elevated N-terminal pro B-type natriuretic peptide or decline in left ventricular ejection fraction during treatment,⁴³ a proportion similar to the observed 28% incidence of reduced LV ejection in a randomised controlled trial with sunitinib.

Acute Coronary Syndrome

Over and above the procoagulant effects of malignancy, thrombosis risk associated with VEGFI is increased, with greater tendency to arterial thrombosis than venous thromboembolism. In a meta-analysis of 38078 patients (72 trials), there was a 3.5-fold increase in myocardial infarction risk.⁴⁴ Although this

relative increase in risk may seem striking, this has to be taken in context, and the absolute increase in risk for myocardial infarction for these patients was only 0.8%. Bevacizumab was associated with a 2.1-fold increase in the risk of “high-grade” cardiac ischemia, and arterial thrombotic events have been reported consistently.⁴⁵ These bevacizumab-associated effects are particularly notable in patients >65 years of age and with a history of thromboembolism.⁴⁵ In a phase I/II sunitinib trial, 1% of patients experienced myocardial infarction while 1% experienced cardiac death.⁴⁴ In a randomised trial of sorafenib-treated patients 3% experienced myocardial ischemia or infarction.⁴⁶ The fact that VEGFIs are also associated with a paradoxical increase in the bleeding risk poses a challenging dilemma when considering antiplatelet therapy to reduce the risk of myocardial ischemia in patients treated with VEGFIs.

Risk factors for the development of VEGFI-associated LV dysfunction are poorly understood, but a history of heart failure or coronary artery disease, preexisting hypertension, increasing age, lower body mass index, and previous or concurrent treatment with other cardiotoxic agents may be important.⁴⁷ Neither dose nor treatment duration has been shown to influence cardiotoxicity risk.⁴⁸

Pathophysiology of VEGF Inhibitor-Associated Cardiotoxicity

Pathophysiological mechanisms underpinning myocardial toxicity of VEGFI have not been fully investigated. Although hypertensive effects of VEGFI are likely important, alterations in cardiac energy generation through AMP-kinase inhibition and mitochondrial dysfunction appear central,⁴⁹ as well as effects related to the inhibition of VEGF, PDGFR, and c-Kit pathways.⁴⁰ These changes either evoke reduced contractility or render the myocardium more vulnerable to the effects of subsequent or coexistent insults, including hypertension. TKIs act at a raft of different pathways both “on-target” (ie, present in both the cancer and the heart) and “off-target” bystander kinases, which are unintended drug targets resulting from limited selectivity of these drugs.⁴⁰ On-target effects may lead to direct cardiac toxicity or an inadequate compensatory response to myocardial stress. VEGF is critically involved in the compensatory hypertrophic response to myocardial stress, including that provoked by hypertension. Interruption of normal VEGF function with VEGFI accelerates the development of left ventricular dilation in response to aortic constriction-related pressure overload in mice,⁵⁰ and murine VEGF₁₂₀ gene knockout models have impaired cardiac contractile function and decreased myocardial angiogenesis.⁵⁰ VEGF-A mRNA and protein are decreased in myocardial biopsy specimens from patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy, and reduced VEGF-A mRNA expression is also associated with rarefaction in these samples, thus contributing to tissue hypoxia and activation of hypoxia-inducible factors.

Electron microscopy of endomyocardial biopsies from patients with VEGFI-associated heart failure demonstrated mitochondrial abnormalities but not apoptosis or fibrosis, suggesting a reversible process.⁴³ Cardiotoxic effects of VEGFI are now considered to be at least partially reversible, and routine monitoring of LV function in patients receiving these drugs should become routine clinical practice.

Conclusions

The scale of the clinical problem of VEGFI-induced hypertension and CVD is growing with an increasing number of patients with cancer requiring these antiangiogenic drugs for treatment. Moreover, cancer usually occurs in older individuals who are more susceptible to hypertension and CVD. Consequently increased usage of VEGFI, coupled with older patients with cancer already at risk of cardiovascular events, means that the associated increased risk will not only negatively influence quality of life but also represents a potentially significant economic and healthcare burden that will affect the emerging “epidemic of cancer and cardiovascular disease.”⁵¹ Currently, exact causes for VEGFI-induced hypertension and cardiotoxicity remain unclear, although advances in the field have elucidated the potential role of impaired vasorelaxation/vasoconstriction, renal dysfunction, rarefaction, and ET-1 hyperactivation. Further research is urgently needed to fully understand molecular mechanisms, whereby inhibition of VEGF signaling induces cardiovascular injury, with the hope that disease-targeted approaches will prevent hypertension and CVD without negatively affecting on beneficial anticancer VEGFI therapeutic effects.

Sources of Funding

This work was supported by the British Heart Foundation (RE/13/530177) to R.M. Touyz, Chief Scientist Office (CSO TCS/16/31) to N.N. Lang, National Institutes of Health (HL116952-04) to J. Herrmann, and the Lijf en Leven Foundation to A.H. van den Meiracker and A.H.J. Danser.

Disclosures

None.

References

1. Simons M, Gordon E, Claesson-Welsh L. Mechanisms and regulation of endothelial VEGF receptor signalling. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2016;17:611–625. doi: 10.1038/nrm.2016.87.
2. Gordon EJ, Fukuhara D, Weström S, Padhan N, Sjöström EO, van Meeteren L, He L, Orsenigo F, Dejana E, Bentley K, Spurkland A, Claesson-Welsh L. The endothelial adaptor molecule TSAd is required for VEGF-induced angiogenic sprouting through junctional c-Src activation. *Sci Signal*. 2016;9:ra72. doi: 10.1126/scisignal.aad9256.
3. Alghanem AF, Wilkinson EL, Emmett MS, Aljasir MA, Holmes K, Rothermel BA, Simms VA, Heath VL, Cross MJ. RCAN1.4 regulates VEGFR-2 internalisation, cell polarity and migration in human microvascular endothelial cells. *Angiogenesis*. In press. doi: 10.1007/s10456-017-9542-9550.
4. Yogi A, Callera GE, Aranha AB, Antunes TT, Graham D, McBride M, Dominiczak A, Touyz RM. Sphingosine-1-phosphate-induced inflammation involves receptor tyrosine kinase transactivation in vascular cells: upregulation in hypertension. *Hypertension*. 2011;57:809–818. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.110.162719.
5. Corti F, Simons M. Modulation of VEGF receptor 2 signaling by protein phosphatases. *Pharmacol Res*. 2017;115:107–123. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2016.11.022.
6. Lankhorst S, Danser AH, van den Meiracker AH. Endothelin-1 and anti-angiogenesis. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*. 2016;310:R230–R234. doi: 10.1152/ajpregu.00373.2015.
7. Maj E, Papiernik D, Wietrzyk J. Antiangiogenic cancer treatment: the great discovery and greater complexity (Review). *Int J Oncol*. 2016;49:1773–1784. doi: 10.3892/ijo.2016.3709.
8. Gensicka M, Głowacka A, Dzierzbicka K, Cholewinski G. Inhibitors of angiogenesis in cancer therapy - synthesis and biological activity. *Curr Med Chem*. 2015;22:3830–3847.
9. Wulkersdorfer B, Zeitlinger M, Schmid M. Pharmacokinetic aspects of vascular endothelial growth factor tyrosine kinase inhibitors. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2016;55:47–77. doi: 10.1007/s40262-015-0302-2.
10. Abdel-Qadir H, Ethier JL, Lee DS, Thavendiranathan P, Amir E. Cardiovascular toxicity of angiogenesis inhibitors in treatment of malignancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer Treat Rev*. 2017;53:120–127. doi: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2016.12.002.
11. Liu B, Ding F, Liu Y, Xiong G, Lin T, He D, Zhang Y, Zhang D, Wei G. Incidence and risk of hypertension associated with vascular endothelial growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors in cancer patients: a comprehensive network meta-analysis of 72 randomized controlled trials involving 30013 patients. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7:67661–67673. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.11813.
12. Cameron AC, Touyz RM, Lang NN. Vascular complications of cancer chemotherapy. *Can J Cardiol*. 2016;32:852–862. doi: 10.1016/j.cjca.2015.12.023.
13. Eryilmaz MK, Mutlu H, Salim DK, Musri FY, Coşkun HŞ. Fatal posterior reversible leukoencephalopathy syndrome associated coma induced by bevacizumab in metastatic colorectal cancer and review of literature. *J Oncol Pharm Pract*. 2016;22:806–810. doi: 10.1177/1078155215611048.
14. Palmer KR, Tong S, Kaitu'u-Lino TJ. Placental-specific sFLT-1: role in pre-eclampsia pathophysiology and its translational possibilities for clinical prediction and diagnosis. *Mol Hum Reprod*. 2017;23:69–78. doi: 10.1093/molehr/gaw077.
15. Small HY, Montezano AC, Rios FJ, Savoia C, Touyz RM. Hypertension due to antiangiogenic cancer therapy with vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitors: understanding and managing a new syndrome. *Can J Cardiol*. 2014;30:534–543. doi: 10.1016/j.cjca.2014.02.011.
16. Hammvik OP, Choueiri TK, Turchin A, McKay RR, Goyal L, Davis M, Kaymakcalan MD, Williams JS. Clinical risk factors for the development of hypertension in patients treated with inhibitors of the VEGF signaling pathway. *Cancer*. 2015;121:311–319. doi: 10.1002/cncr.28972.
17. Mir O, Coriat R, Cabanes L, Ropert S, Billemont B, Alexandre J, Durand JP, Treluyer JM, Knebelmann B, Goldwasser F. An observational study of bevacizumab-induced hypertension as a clinical biomarker of antitumor activity. *Oncologist*. 2011;16:1325–1332. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2010-0002.
18. Azizi M, Chedid A, Oudard S. Home blood-pressure monitoring in patients receiving sunitinib. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;358:95–97. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc072330.
19. Robinson ES, Matulonis UA, Ivy P, Berlin ST, Tyburski K, Penson RT, Humphreys BD. Rapid development of hypertension and proteinuria with cediranib, an oral vascular endothelial growth factor receptor inhibitor. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2010;5:477–483. doi: 10.2215/CJN.08111109.
20. Lankhorst S, Baelde HJ, Clahsen-van Groningen MC, Smedts FM, Danser AH, van den Meiracker AH. Effect of high salt diet on blood pressure and renal damage during vascular endothelial growth factor inhibition with sunitinib. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2016;31:914–921. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfv410.
21. Duffaud F, Sleijfer S, Litière S, Ray-Coquard I, Le Cesne A, Papai Z, Judson I, Schöffski P, Chawla SP, Dewji R, Marraud S, Verweij J, van der Graaf WT. Hypertension (HTN) as a potential biomarker of efficacy in pazopanib-treated patients with advanced non-adipocytic soft tissue sarcoma. A retrospective study based on European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) 62043 and 62072 trials. *Eur J Cancer*. 2015;51:2615–2623. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2015.08.002.
22. Carter JJ, Fretwell LV, Woolard J. Effects of 4 multitargeted receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors on regional hemodynamics in conscious, freely moving rats. *FASEB J*. 2017;31:1193–1203. doi: 10.1096/fj.201600749R.
23. Thijs AM, van Herpen CM, Sweep FC, Geurts-Moespot A, Smits P, van der Graaf WT, Rongen GA. Role of endogenous vascular endothelial growth factor in endothelium-dependent vasodilation in humans. *Hypertension*. 2013;61:1060–1065. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.111.00841.
24. Lankhorst S, Baelde HJ, Kappers MH, Smedts FM, Hansen A, Clahsen-van Groningen MC, Sleijfer S, Mathijssen RH, Danser AH, van den Meiracker AH. Greater sensitivity of blood pressure than renal toxicity to tyrosine kinase receptor inhibition with sunitinib. *Hypertension*. 2015;66:543–549. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.115.05435.
25. Verdonk K, Saleh L, Lankhorst S, Smilde JE, van Ingen MM, Garrelds IM, Friesema EC, Russcher H, van den Meiracker AH, Visser W, Danser AH. Association studies suggest a key role for endothelin-1 in the pathogenesis of preeclampsia and the accompanying renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system suppression. *Hypertension*. 2015;65:1316–1323. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.115.05267.
26. Lankhorst S, Kappers MH, van Esch JH, Smedts FM, Sleijfer S, Mathijssen RH, Baelde HJ, Danser AH, van den Meiracker AH. Treatment of hypertension and renal injury induced by the angiogenesis inhibitor sunitinib:

- preclinical study. *Hypertension*. 2014;64:1282–1289. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.04187.
27. Kappers MH, de Beer VJ, Zhou Z, Danser AH, Sleijfer S, Duncker DJ, van den Meiracker AH, Merkus D. Sunitinib-induced systemic vasoconstriction in swine is endothelin mediated and does not involve nitric oxide or oxidative stress. *Hypertension*. 2012;59:151–157. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.111.182220.
 28. van der Veldt AA, de Boer MP, Boven E, Eringa EC, van den Eertwegh AJ, van Hinsbergh VW, Smulders YM, Serné EH. Reduction in skin microvascular density and changes in vessel morphology in patients treated with sunitinib. *Anticancer Drugs*. 2010;21:439–446. doi: 10.1097/CAD.0b013e3283359c79.
 29. Lewandowski AJ, Davis EF, Yu G, Digby JE, Boardman H, Whitworth P, Singhal A, Lucas A, McCormick K, Shore AC, Leeson P. Elevated blood pressure in preterm-born offspring associates with a distinct antiangiogenic state and microvascular abnormalities in adult life. *Hypertension*. 2015;65:607–614. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.114.04662.
 30. Lankhorst S, Severs D, Markó L, Rakova N, Titze J, Müller DN, Danser AH, van den Meiracker AH. Salt sensitivity of angiogenesis inhibition-induced blood pressure rise: role of interstitial sodium accumulation? *Hypertension*. 2017;69:919–926. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.116.08565.
 31. Wiig H, Schröder A, Neuhofer W, et al. Immune cells control skin lymphatic electrolyte homeostasis and blood pressure. *J Clin Invest*. 2013;123:2803–2815. doi: 10.1172/JCI60113.
 32. Eremina V, Jefferson JA, Kowalewska J, Hochster H, Haas M, Weisstuch J, Richardson C, Kopp JB, Kabir MG, Backx PH, Gerber HP, Ferrara N, Barisoni L, Alpers CE, Quaggin SE. VEGF inhibition and renal thrombotic microangiopathy. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;358:1129–1136. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0707330.
 33. Izzedine H, Escudier B, Lhomme C, Pautier P, Rouvier P, Gueutin V, Baumelou A, Derosa L, Bahleda R, Hollebecque A, Sahali D, Soria JC. Kidney diseases associated with anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF): an 8-year observational study at a single center. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2014;93:333–339. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000000207.
 34. Seki H. Balance of antiangiogenic and angiogenic factors in the context of the etiology of preeclampsia. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 2014;93:959–964. doi: 10.1111/aogs.12473.
 35. Kappers MH, Smedts FM, Horn T, van Esch JH, Sleijfer S, Leijten F, Wesseling S, Strevens H, Jan Danser AH, van den Meiracker AH. The vascular endothelial growth factor receptor inhibitor sunitinib causes a preeclampsia-like syndrome with activation of the endothelin system. *Hypertension*. 2011;58:295–302. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.111.173559.
 36. Saleh L, Verdonk K, Visser W, van den Meiracker AH, Danser AH. The emerging role of endothelin-1 in the pathogenesis of pre-eclampsia. *Ther Adv Cardiovasc Dis*. 2016;10:282–293. doi: 10.1177/1753944715624853.
 37. Gregory AL, Xu G, Sotov V, Letarte M. Review: the enigmatic role of endoglin in the placenta. *Placenta*. 2014;35 (Suppl):S93–S99. doi: 10.1016/j.placenta.2013.10.020.
 38. Ky B, Vejpongsa P, Yeh ET, Force T, Moslehi JJ. Emerging paradigms in cardiomyopathies associated with cancer therapies. *Circ Res*. 2013;113:754–764. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.113.300218.
 39. Ghatalia P, Morgan CJ, Je Y, Nguyen PL, Trinh QD, Choueiri TK, Sonpavde G. Congestive heart failure with vascular endothelial growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors. *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol*. 2015;94:228–237. doi: 10.1016/j.critrevonc.2014.12.008.
 40. Moslehi JJ. Cardiovascular toxic effects of targeted cancer therapies. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;375:1457–1467. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1100265.
 41. Richards CJ, Je Y, Schutz FA, Heng DY, Dallabrida SM, Moslehi JJ, Choueiri TK. Incidence and risk of congestive heart failure in patients with renal and nonrenal cell carcinoma treated with sunitinib. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011;29:3450–3456. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2010.34.4309.
 42. Choueiri TK, Mayer EL, Je Y, Rosenberg JE, Nguyen PL, Azzi GR, Bellmunt J, Burstein HJ, Schutz FA. Congestive heart failure risk in patients with breast cancer treated with bevacizumab. *J Clin Oncol*. 2011;29:632–638. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2010.31.9129.
 43. Chu TF, Rupnick MA, Kerkela R, et al. Cardiotoxicity associated with tyrosine kinase inhibitor sunitinib. *Lancet*. 2007;370:2011–2019. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61865-0.
 44. Faruque LI, Lin M, Battistella M, Wiebe N, Reiman T, Hemmelgarn B, Thomas C, Tonelli M. Systematic review of the risk of adverse outcomes associated with vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitors for the treatment of cancer. *PLoS One*. 2014;9:e101145. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0101145.
 45. Schutz FA, Je Y, Azzi GR, Nguyen PL, Choueiri TK. Bevacizumab increases the risk of arterial ischemia: a large study in cancer patients with a focus on different subgroup outcomes. *Ann Oncol*. 2011;22:1404–1412. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdq587.
 46. Escudier B, Eisen T, Stadler WM, et al; TARGET Study Group. Sorafenib in advanced clear-cell renal-cell carcinoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;356:125–134. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa060655.
 47. Groarke JD, Choueiri TK, Slosky D, Cheng S, Moslehi J. Recognizing and managing left ventricular dysfunction associated with therapeutic inhibition of the vascular endothelial growth factor signaling pathway. *Curr Treat Options Cardiovasc Med*. 2014;16:335. doi: 10.1007/s11936-014-0335-0.
 48. Khakoo AY, Kassiotis CM, Tannir N, Plana JC, Halushka M, Bickford C, Trent J 2nd, Champion JC, Durand JB, Lenihan DJ. Heart failure associated with sunitinib malate: a multitargeted receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor. *Cancer*. 2008;112:2500–2508. doi: 10.1002/cncr.23460.
 49. Force T, Kolaja KL. Cardiotoxicity of kinase inhibitors: the prediction and translation of preclinical models to clinical outcomes. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2011;10:111–126. doi: 10.1038/nrd3252.
 50. Carmeliet P, Ng YS, Nuyens D, et al. Impaired myocardial angiogenesis and ischemic cardiomyopathy in mice lacking the vascular endothelial growth factor isoforms VEGF164 and VEGF188. *Nat Med*. 1999;5:495–502. doi: 10.1038/8379.
 51. Abe J, Martin JF, Yeh ET. The Future of Onco-Cardiology: We Are Not Just “Side Effect Hunters”. *Circ Res*. 2016;119:896–899. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.309573.

Recent Advances in Hypertension and Cardiovascular Toxicities With Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Inhibition

Rhian M. Touyz, Ninian N. Lang, Joerg Herrmann, Anton H. van den Meiracker and A.H. Jan Danser

Hypertension. 2017;70:220-226; originally published online June 19, 2017;

doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.08856

Hypertension is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231

Copyright © 2017 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

Print ISSN: 0194-911X. Online ISSN: 1524-4563

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

<http://hyper.ahajournals.org/content/70/2/220>

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in *Hypertension* can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the [Permissions and Rights Question and Answer](#) document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at:
<http://www.lww.com/reprints>

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to *Hypertension* is online at:
<http://hyper.ahajournals.org/subscriptions/>